UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/710,152	06/22/2004	John G. Macke JR.	03-1139	4151	
64722 7590 05/02/2008 OSTRAGER CHONG FLAHERTY & BROITMAN, P.C. 570 LEXINGTON AVENUE FLOOR 17			EXAMINER		
			EWALD, MARIA VERONICA		
NEW YORK, NY 10022-6894		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1791		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/02/2008	ELECTRONIC	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JBROITMAN@OCFBLAW.COM lmurrell@ocfblaw.com patentadmin@boeing.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/710,152	MACKE ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	MARIA VERONICA D. EWALD	1791		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6/4,3/	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 and 18-22 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) 18-22 is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 22 June 2004 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/06 and 10/07.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: PCT pub.	ite		

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

13. Claims 18 – 22 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on April 16, 2008.

## Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 103

- 14. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 – 6 and 9 – 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heugel (WO 2004/014636 A1) in view of Manuel, et al. (U.S. 6,587,742). It is noted that the Examiner is citing Heugel (U.S. 2005/0263932 A1) as the English-language equivalent of the above PCT publication.

Heugel teaches a sintering system comprising: a tool chamber enclosing a sinter material (items 11 and 12 – figure 1); a laser system sintering said sinter material as a function of controller signals (item 21 – figure 1) wherein a controller generates signals to control the sintering and fabrication of multiple three-dimensional objects (paragraphs 0013 – 0014).

Application/Control Number: 10/710,152

Art Unit: 1791

Heugel is teaching a selective laser sintering (SLS) apparatus, in which three-dimensional parts are fabricated concurrently in build chambers which may be separate chambers or one single vat separated by a partition (paragraph 0013). The three-dimensional objects, (like other rapid-prototyping apparatus) are modeled via computer-aided design (CAD). The object is then partitioned into distinct layers. A layer of powder is deposited onto the build platform and sintered via the laser system according to the cross-section of the object (paragraph 0003; paragraphs 0025 – 0026).

Page 3

Heugel, however, does not teach that the specific controller used generates controller signals as a function of a predetermined tool design, said predetermined tool design comprising a first section of said tool comprising a joint component for coupling said first section to at least one other section of said tool. Thus, Heugel fails to teach that the parts fabricated in each chamber are a function of one tool design, such that the parts are to be matched and subsequently joined to build the tool design generated by the controller. Heugel also fails to teach the elements of the tool sections such that the first section is sintered separately from the second section, wherein the tool design comprises joint components on both sections, wherein the tool sections' joint components define holes, tongue features or contour details which allow the individual sections to be combined subsequent to sintering.

In an apparatus to fabricate a three-dimensional tool, Manuel, et al. teach the use of a laser cutting device and a controller. To fabricate large tools, previous prior art apparatus were comprised of laser cutting or grinding of one large block of material.

Large tools sometimes include various parts or portions, separately cut or ground

because of its size; however, such a process resulted in longer production time and costs (column 1, lines 20 – 30). To reduce the costs incurred with such a process, Manuel, et al. teach a laser cutting apparatus, in which a three-dimensional model of the tool is generated (column 5, lines 12 - 15). The three-dimensional model is then, partitioned by the processor, into distinct layers or portions (column 5, lines 20 - 25). Each partition corresponds to a unique cross-section of the tool (column 5, lines 32 – 35). To fabricate the tool, a material is transported to the laser cutter, wherein the processor creates the cutting program to cut the material to the physical contours of the cross-section of the tool (column 5, lines 40 - 45). Once one partition has been formed, another piece of material is transported to the laser cutter to be cut to the dimensions and contours of another cross-section of the tool. Subsequently, the tool parts are then bonded (column 7, lines 1-10). The process is repeated and controlled by the processor until the entire tool has been fabricated. Thus, Manuel, et al. teach a controller which is capable of generating a tool design and partitioning the tool design into distinct cross-sections which are subsequently bonded to form the final tool product.

In addition, though Manuel, et al. may not teach that the tool design comprises joint components, receiving areas, holes or tongues such that these elements are used to couple together sections of the tools, such areas are obvious variations and dependent upon the actual tool being fabricated, and its design and components.

Thus, the primary reference of Heugel teaches an SLS system to fabricate multiple three-dimensional parts, concurrently, though Heugel does not teach that the parts being fabricated are joined together into one tool product, such that the controller

Application/Control Number: 10/710,152

Art Unit: 1791

is generating signals to fabricate the tool from multiple parts. Similarly, Manuel, et al. teach the fabrication of a large three-dimensional tool via laser cutting, in which a three-dimensional model of the tool is generated (like that in rapid-prototyping or SLS apparatus) and each tool cross-section, generated by the controller, is cut via the laser cutter. Tool partitions are subsequently bonded and thus, form the finished tool.

Page 5

Therefore, because both Heugel and Manuel, et al. teach similar alternative manufacturing methods wherein the fabrication of a tool or tools occurs via the generation of a three-dimensional model and a laser device to shape the bulk material into the tool, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the Applicant's invention to configure the apparatus of Heugel with the controller of Manuel, et al. for the purpose of fabricating a large tool efficiently while minimizing costs.

Claims 7 – 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heugel in view of Manuel, et al. and further in view of Masters (U.S. 5,216,616). Heugel and Manuel, et al. teach the characteristics previously described but do not teach that the tool is comprised of a heat sink positioned within said tool chamber or a buffer feature protecting said joint component.

In a rapid prototyping apparatus, Masters teaches the presence of a heat sink or buffer feature in the form of supports which are formed within the build chamber to prevent shrinkage or warpage during curing (column 2, lines 22 – 27; column 6, lines 8

Application/Control Number: 10/710,152 Page 6

Art Unit: 1791

 - 35). The supports are easily melted away after the object has been formed (column 6, lines 26 – 30).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the Applicant's invention to configure the apparatus of Heugel with the controller of Manuel, et al., further configured with the supports of Masters for the purpose of reducing shrinkage or warpage during curing of the three-dimensional object.

### Information Disclosure Statement

15. The prior art made of record, not relied upon, is deemed pertinent to the state of the art and thus, has been considered.

#### Conclusion

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MARIA VERONICA D. EWALD whose telephone number is (571)272-8519. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8 - 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Yogendra Gupta can be reached on 571-272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/710,152 Page 7

Art Unit: 1791

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MVE

/Maria Veronica D Ewald/ Examiner, Art Unit 1791